arXiv:1701.03745v1 [math.FA] 13 Jan 2017

Conjugates of integral functionals on continuous functions

Ari-Pekka Perkkiö*

January 16, 2017

Abstract

This article gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the dual representation of Rockafellar in [Integrals which are convex functionals. II, Pacific J. Math., 39:439-469, 1971] for integral functionals on the space of continuous functions.

Keywords. integral functional; convex conjugate; set-valued mapping; inner semicontinuity

1 Introduction

Let T be a σ-compact locally compact Hausdorff (σ-lcH) with the topology τ, the Borel-σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(T)$ and a strictly positive regular measure μ. The space of $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued continuous functions $C := C(T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, that vanish at infinity, is a Banach space with respect to the supremum norm. The Banach dual of C can be identified with the space M of $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued signed regular measures with finite total variation on T via the bilinear form

$$\langle y, \theta \rangle := \int y d\theta;$$

see [Fol99, Section 7].

This article studies conjugates of convex integral functionals on C. Such functionals appear in numerous applications in optimal control, variational problems over functions of bounded variation, optimal transport, financial mathematics, and in extremal value theory. Applications to singular stochastic control and finance are given in [PP15].

Our main theorems sharpen those of [Roc71] and [Per14] by giving necessary and sufficient conditions for the conjugacy of integral functionals on C and functionals on the space of measures with integral representation involving the

*Department of Mathematics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 München, Germany. The author is grateful to the Väisälä Foundation for the financial support.

recession function of the conjugate integrand. For closely related results, we refer to [AB88, BV88] and the references therein. Our characterizations are new in the literature and they complete the idea initiated in [Roc71] on expressing the singular parts of the conjugates on the space of measures in terms of recession functions.

The paper starts by sharpening [Roc71, Theorem 6] on the integral representation of the support function of continuous selections of a convex-valued mapping. We show that inner semicontinuity of the mapping is not only sufficient but also a necessary condition for the validity of the representation. Section 3 gives the main results on integral functionals. The conditions appearing in the main results are analyzed further in Section 4.

2 Inner semicontinuity and continuous selections

Let S be a set-valued mapping from T to $\mathbb{R}^d$ and denote the set of its continuous selections by

$$C(S) := \{y \in C \mid y_t \in S_t \ \forall t \in T\}.$$

This section sharpens [Roc71, Theorem 6] on the integral representation of the *support function*

$$\sigma_{C(S)}(\theta) := \sup_{y \in C(S)} \langle y, \theta \rangle$$

of $C(S)$. Theorem 6 of [Roc71] states that if S is "inner semicontinuous" and T is compact, then

$$\sigma_{C(S)}(\theta) = \int \sigma_S(d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta| := \int \sigma_{S_t}((d\theta/d|\theta|)_t)d|\theta|_t,$$

where $|\theta|$ denotes the total variation of θ and σ_{S_t} is the normal integrand defined pointwise by

$$\sigma_{S_t}(x) := \sup_{y \in S_t} x \cdot y.$$

Theorem 1 below extends the result to general σ-lcH T and shows that inner semicontinuity of S is a necessary condition for the validity of the integral representation.

The proof of sufficiency is analogous of that of Rockafellar, but we repeat it here for completeness since we do not assume compactness of T. To the best of our knowledge, the necessity of the inner semicontinuity has not been recorded in the literature before.

We denote the neighborhoods of $t \in T$ by $\mathcal{H}_t$ and the open neighborhoods of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by $\mathcal{H}^o_x$. The mapping S is *inner semicontinuous (isc) at* t if $S_t \subseteq \liminf S_t$, where

$$\liminf S_t := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid S^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{H}_t \ \forall A \in \mathcal{H}^o_x\}.$$

When S is isc at every t, S is *inner semicontinuous*. By [Mic56, Proposition 2.1], S is isc if and only if the *preimage* $S^{-1}(O) := \{t \in T \mid S_t \cap O \neq \emptyset\}$ of every open

set O is open. Inner semicontinuity is studied in detail in [RW98, Section 5] in the case where T is Euclidean. We often use Michael's continuous selection theorem [Mic56, Theorem 3.1'''] that is valid for a Lindelöf perfectly normal T_1-space T. We refer to [Dug66] for the definitions, and note here that Hausdorff spaces are T_1 and σ-compactness of T implies that every subset of T is Lindelöf, so T is a Lindelöf perfectly normal T_1-space by [Dug66, Theorem XI.6.4 and Problem VIII.6.7].

Given a set A, $N_A(y)$ denotes the set of its *normals* at y, that is, $\theta \in N_A(y)$ if $y \in A$ and

$$\langle \theta, y' - y \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall y' \in A,$$

while $N_A(y) := \emptyset$ for $y \notin A$. For a set-valued mapping $S : T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^d$ and a function $y : T \to \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote the set-valued mapping $t \mapsto N_{S_t}(y_t)$ by $N_S(y)$. The mapping $t \mapsto \operatorname{cl} S_t$ is called the *image closure* of S.

Theorem 1. *Assume that S is a convex-valued mapping from T to $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $C(S) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\sigma_{C(S)}(y) = \int \sigma_S(d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta|$$

if and only if S is inner semicontinuous. In this case $\theta \in N_{C(S)}(y)$ if and only if $d\theta/d|\theta| \in N_S(y)$ $|\theta|$-a.e.

Proof. We first prove sufficiency. The mapping defined by

$$\Gamma_t := \operatorname{cl}\{y_t \mid y \in C(S)\}$$

is contained in S, and, since a mapping is isc if and only if its image closure is isc, Γ is isc by part 7 of Theorem 2 below. Assuming that S is not inner semicontinuous, there is t such that Γ_t is strictly smaller than S_t. By a separating hyperplane theorem, there is x with $\sup_{v \in \Gamma_t} x \cdot v < \sup_{v \in S_t} x \cdot v$. Defining $\theta \in M$ so that $|\theta|$ is a Dirac measure at t and $d\theta/d|\theta| = x$, we can write this as

$$\sup_{y \in C(S)} \langle y, \theta \rangle < \int \sigma_S(d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta|,$$

which is a contradiction.

Now we turn to necessity. By the Fenchel inequality,

$$\langle y, \theta \rangle \leq \int \sigma_S(d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta| \tag{1}$$

for every $y \in C(S)$, so it suffices to show

$$\sup_{y \in C(S)} \langle y, \theta \rangle \geq \int \sigma_S(d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta|.$$

Denoting $L^\infty(S) = \{w \in L^\infty(T,\theta) \mid w_t \in S_t \ \forall t\}$, we have, by [RW98, Theorem 14.60],

$$\sup_{w \in L^\infty(\theta;S)} \int w d\theta = \int \sigma_S(d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta|.$$

Let $\bar{y} \in C(D)$,

$$\alpha < \int \sigma_S(d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta|$$

and $w \in L^\infty(S)$ be such that $\int w d\theta > \alpha$. By regularity of θ and Lusin's theorem [Fol99, Theorem 7.10], there is an open $\bar{O} \subset \mathcal{T}$ such that $\int_{\bar{O}} (|\bar{y}|+|w_t|)d|\theta| < \epsilon/2$, $\bar{O}^C$ is compact and w is continuous relative to $\bar{O}^C$. The mapping

$$\Gamma_t = \begin{cases} w_t & \text{if } t \in \bar{O}^C \\ S_t & \text{if } t \in O \end{cases}$$

is isc convex closed nonempty-valued so that, by [Mic56, Theorem 3.1'''], there is a $y^w \in C$ with $y^w_t = w_t$ on $\bar{O}^C$ and $y^w_t \in S_t$ for all t. Since $|\theta|$ is regular, there is an open $\hat{O} \supset \bar{O}^C$ such that $\int_{\hat{O}\setminus\bar{O}^C} |y^w_t| d|\theta| < \epsilon/2$. Since $\bar{O}^C$ is compact and T is locally compact, we may choose $\hat{O}$ precompact, by [Dug66, Theorem XI.6.2].

Since $\hat{O}$ and $\bar{O}$ form an open cover of T and since T is normal, there is, by [Mun00, Theorem 36.1], a continuous partition of unity $(\hat{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha})$ subordinate to $(\hat{O}, \bar{O})$. Defining $y := \hat{\alpha} y^w + \bar{\alpha}\bar{y}$, we have $y \in C(S)$ and

$$\int y d\theta \geq \int_{\bar{O}^C} w d\theta - \int_{\hat{O}\setminus\bar{O}^C} \hat{\alpha}|y^w| d|\theta| - \int_{\bar{O}} \bar{\alpha}|\bar{y}| d|\theta| \geq \int \alpha - \epsilon,$$

which finishes the proof of necessity, since $\alpha < \int \sigma_S(d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta|$ was arbitrary.

As to the normal vectors, $\theta \in N_{C(D)}(y)$ if and only if $\sigma_{C(D)}(y) = \langle \theta, y \rangle$ which, by the Fenchel inequality (1), is equivalent to

$$\sigma_S(d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta| = \int y \cdot (d\theta/d|\theta|)d|\theta|$$

which is equivalent to condition in the statement, since we also have the pointwise Fenchel inequalities

$$\sigma_{S_t}(x) \geq y_t \cdot x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

that are satisfied as equalities if and only if $x \in N_{S_t}(y_t)$. □

The next theorem lists some useful criteria for the preservation of inner semicontinuity in operations. Given a set A, $\operatorname{rint} A$ denotes its relative interior.

Theorem 2. *If S, S^1 and S^2 are isc mappings, then*

1. *AS is isc for any continuous $A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$,*

2. $\operatorname{co} S$ *is isc,*

3. $S^1 \times S^2$ *is isc,*

4. $S^1 + S^2$ *is isc,*

5. $t \mapsto S^1_t \cap S^2_t$ *is isc provided* $G := \{(t,x) \mid x \in S^2_t\}$ *is open.*

6. $t \mapsto S^1_t \cap S^2_t$ *is isc provided* T *is Euclidean and* S^1 *and* S^2 *are convex-valued with* $0 \in \operatorname{int}(S^1_t - S^2_t)$ *for all* t*,*

7. *Arbitrary pointwise unions of isc mappings are isc.*

Proof. 1 follows from $(AS)^{-1}(O) = S^{-1}(A^{-1}(O))$, since then preimages of open sets are open. 3 can be derived directly from the definition. Choosing $A(v^1, v^2) = v^1 + v^2$ and $S = S^1 \times S^2$, 4 follows from 1 and 3.

To prove 5, let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $t \in (S^1 \cap S^2)^{-1}(A)$ and $x \in S^1_t \cap S^2_t \cap A$. The set $G \cap (T \times A)$ is open and contains (t,x), so there is $A' \in \mathcal{H}^o_x$ and $O \in \mathcal{H}^o_t$ such that $A' \subset A$ and $O \times A' \subset G$. Then $O \cap (S^1)^{-1}(A') \subseteq (S^1 \cap S^2)^{-1}(A)$, so $(S^1 \cap S^2)^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{H}_t$. Thus $(S^1 \cap S^2)^{-1}(A)$ is open.

To prove 6, let $t^\nu \to t$. By [RW98, Theorem 4.32],

$$\liminf(S^1_{t^\nu} \cap S^2_{t^\nu}) \supseteq \liminf S^1_{t^\nu} \cap \liminf S^2_{t^\nu}.$$

Taking the intersection over all sequences $t^\nu \to t$ proves the claim, by [RW98, Exercise 5.6].

To prove 2, notice that $\operatorname{co} S$ is an union of all the mappings of the form $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha^i S$ for $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha^i = 1$, $\alpha^i \geq 0$, so the result follows from 1,4 and 7. It remains to prove 7. For any mappings (S^α), $(\bigcup_\alpha S^\alpha)^{-1}(A) = \bigcup((S^\alpha)^{-1}(A))$. Thus arbitrary unions of isc mappings is isc, since preimages of open sets are open. □

3 Integral functionals of continuous functions

This section studies conjugates of functionals of the form

$$I_h + \delta_{C(D)} : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}},$$

where h is a convex normal $\mathcal{B}(T)$-integrand on $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$I_h(v) := \int h_t(v_t) d\mu_t$$

and $D_t := \operatorname{cl}\operatorname{dom} h_t$. Here $\operatorname{dom} g := \{x \mid g(x) < \infty\}$ is the *domain of* g and cl denotes the closure operation. Recall that h is a *convex normal* $\mathcal{B}(T)$*-integrand on* $\mathbb{R}^d$ if its *epigraphical mapping* $t \mapsto \operatorname{epi} h_t := \{(v,\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \mid h_t(v) \leq \alpha\}$ is closed convex-valued and measurable. A set-valued mapping S is *measurable* if preimages of open sets are measurable. We refer the reader to

[RW98, Chapter 14] for a general study of measurable set-valued mappings and normal integrands.

Note that, when $h_t(v) = \delta_{S_t(v)}$, we have $I_h + \delta_{C(D)} = \delta_{C(D)}$ so we are in the setting of Section 2. On the other hand, when $\operatorname{dom} I_h \subseteq C(D)$, we have $I_h + \delta_{C(D)} = I_h$. Sufficient conditions for the above inclusion can be found in [Roc71], [Per14] and Section 4 below.

Recall that the *conjugate* of an extended real-valued function F on C is the extended real-valued lower semicontinuous (lsc) convex function on M defined by

$$F^*(\theta) := \sup_{y \in C} \{\langle y, \theta \rangle - F(y)\}.$$

Rockafellar [Roc71] and more recently Perkkiö [Per14] gave conditions for the validity of the integral representation

$$(I_h)^* = J_{h^*},$$

where the functional $J_{h^*} : M \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined by

$$J_{h^*}(\theta) = \int h_t^*((d\theta^a/d\mu)_t) d\mu_t + \int (h_t^*)^\infty ((d\theta^s/d|\theta^s|)_t) d|\theta^s|_t,$$

where θ^a and θ^s denote the absolutely continuous and the singular part, respectively, of θ with respect to μ, and $(h_t^*)^\infty$ denotes the recession function of h_t^*. Recall that the *recession function* of a proper lsc convex function g is given by

$$g^\infty(x) = \sup_{\alpha > 0} \frac{g(\alpha x + \bar{x}) - g(\bar{x})}{\alpha},$$

where $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{dom} g$ is arbitrary; see [Roc70, Chapter 8]. We often use the identity

$$g^\infty = \sigma_{\operatorname{dom} g^*} \tag{2}$$

valid for proper lsc convex functions on locally convex topological vector spaces; see [Roc66, Corollary 3D] or [Roc70, Theorem 13.3] for the finite dimensional case. The identity (2) makes it clear that the properties of J_{h^*} are related to those of $t \mapsto D_t$.

The following lemma is the first part of the proof of [Per14, Theorem 3]. It shows that the functional $I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$ arises naturally as the conjugate of J_{h^*}.

Lemma 3. *If* $\operatorname{dom} J_{h^*} \neq \emptyset$, *then* $J_{h^*}^* = I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} J^*_{h^*}(y) &= \sup_{\theta\in M}\left\{\int y d\theta - J_{h^*}(\theta)\right\} \\ &= \sup_{\theta'\in L^1(\mu;\mathbb{R}^d)}\left\{\int y\cdot\theta' d\mu - I_{h^*}(\theta')\right\} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\theta\in M, w\in L^1(|\theta^s|;\mathbb{R}^d)}\left\{\int y\cdot w d|\theta^s| - \int (h^*)^\infty(w) d|\theta^s|\right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} I_h(y) & \text{if } y_t\in \operatorname{cl}\operatorname{dom} h_t\ \forall t, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from the positive homogeneity of $(h^*_t)^\infty$, and the third follows by first applying [RW98, Theorem 14.60] on the second and the third line, where one uses (2) and then takes the supremum over all purely atomic finite measures which are singular with respect to μ. □

The next lemma combines [Roc71, Theorem 4] with the condition $C(D) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{dom} I_h \cap C(D))$. This condition is part of the sufficient conditions in the theorem below, which will be reduced to the situation in the lemma. We denote the closed ball centered at v with radius r by $\mathbb{B}_r(v)$ and the interior of a set A by $\operatorname{int} A$. When $v = 0$, we write simply $\mathbb{B}_r$.

Lemma 4. *Assume that D is isc, $C(D) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{dom} I_h \cap C(D))$ and that $y \in \operatorname{dom} I_h$ and $r > 0$ are such that $t \mapsto h_t(y_t + v)$ is finite and belongs to L^1 whenever $v \in \mathbb{B}_r$. Then $(I_h + \delta_{C(D)})^* = J_{h^*}$.*

Proof. By [Roc71, Theorem 4], $y \in \operatorname{int}\operatorname{dom} I_h \cap C(D)$ and

$$I^*_h(\theta) = \min_{\theta'}\{I_{h^*}(d\theta'/d\mu) + \sigma_{\operatorname{dom} I_h}(\theta - \theta') \mid \theta' \ll \mu\}.$$

Since $\operatorname{int}\operatorname{dom} I_h \cap C(D) \neq \emptyset$, we may apply Fenchel duality [Roc74, Theorem 20] to the sum $I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &(I_h + \delta_{C(D)})^*(\theta) \\ &= \min_{\theta''}\{\min_{\theta'}\{I_{h^*}(d\theta'/d\mu) + \sigma_{\operatorname{dom} I_h}(\theta - \theta' - \theta'') \mid \theta' \ll \mu\} + \sigma_{C(D)}(\theta'')\} \\ &= \min_{\theta'}\min_{\theta''}\{I_{h^*}(d\theta'/d\mu) + \sigma_{\operatorname{dom} I_h}(\theta - \theta' - \theta'') + \sigma_{C(D)}(\theta'') \mid \theta' \ll \mu\} \\ &= \min_{\theta'}\{I_{h^*}(d\theta'/d\mu) + \sigma_{\operatorname{dom} I_h \cap C(D)}(\theta - \theta') \mid \theta' \ll \mu\} \\ &= \min_{\theta'}\{I_{h^*}(d\theta'/d\mu) + J_{\sigma_D}(\theta - \theta') \mid \theta' \ll \mu\} \\ &= \min_{\theta'}\{\int h^*(d\theta'/d\mu)d\mu + \int (h^*)^\infty(d(\theta - \theta')/d\mu)d\mu\} \\ &\quad + \int (h^*)^\infty(d(\theta^s)/d|\theta^s|)d|\theta^s|. \end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality follows from another application of Fenchel duality, the fourth from the assumption $C(D) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D))$ and from Theorem 1. By [Roc70, Corollary 8.5.1], the last minimum is attained at $d\theta'/d\mu = d\theta/d\mu$, so the last expression equals $J_{h^*}(\theta)$. □

The following theorem and its corollary are the main results of the paper. The theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the conjugacy of $I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$ and J_{h^*}. The corollary sharpens [Roc71, Theorem 5] by giving necessary and sufficient conditions for the conjugacy between I_h and J_{h^*}.

Given a function g, $\partial g(y)$ denotes its subgradients at y, that is, $\theta \in \partial g(y)$ if

$$g(y) + \langle y' - y, \theta \rangle \le g(y') \quad \forall y'.$$

Given a normal integrand h and $y \in C$, we denote the set-valued mapping $t \mapsto \partial(h_t)(y_t)$ by $\partial h(y)$ and the mapping $t \mapsto N_{D_t}(y_t)$ by $\partial^s h(y)$.

Theorem 5. *Assuming $I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$ and J_{h^*} are proper, they are conjugates of each other if and only if* $\mathrm{dom}\, h$ *is isc and $C(D) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D))$, and then $\theta \in \partial(I_h + \delta_{C(D)})(y)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^a/d\mu &\in \partial h(y) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}, \\ d\theta^s/d|\theta^s| &\in \partial^s h(y) \quad |\theta^s|\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Proof. To prove the necessity, assume that $I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$ and J_{h^*} are conjugates of each other. By (2), $\sigma_{\mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{dom}(I_h + \delta_{C(D)})} = J_{h^*}^\infty$, where, by the monotone convergence theorem, $J_{h^*}^\infty = J_{(h^*)^\infty}$. Here, by (2) again, $(h^*)^\infty = \sigma_D$. By Lemma 3, $J_{\sigma_D}^* = \delta_{C(D)}$, so $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D)) = C(D)$. In particular, $\sigma_{C(D)} = J_{\sigma_D}$, so D is inner semicontinuous, by Theorem 1, which shows the necessity.

To prove the sufficiency, it suffices, by Lemma 3 and the biconjugate theorem [Roc74, Theorem 5], to show that J_{h^*} is lsc. For $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$${}^\epsilon h_t(x) := \min_{x' \in \mathbb{B}_\epsilon} h_t(x + x')$$

and ${}^\epsilon D_t := \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{dom}\, {}^\epsilon h_t$. By [RW98, Proposition 14.47], ${}^\epsilon h$ is a convex normal integrand, and a direct calculation gives

$${}^\epsilon h_t^*(v) = h_t^*(v) + \epsilon|v|.$$

When $\epsilon \searrow 0$, both $I_{\epsilon_h} + \delta_{C(D_\epsilon)} \nearrow I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$ and $J_{\epsilon_{h^*}} \searrow J_{h^*}$ pointwise, so, J_{h^*} is lsc by Lemma 9 in the appendix provided that $(I_{\epsilon_h} + \delta_{C(D_\epsilon)})^* = J_{({}^\epsilon h)^*}$. To have this, we will apply Lemma 4 to ${}^\epsilon h$.

We have ${}^\epsilon D = \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{dom}\, h_t + \mathbb{B}_\epsilon$, so ${}^\epsilon D$ is isc, by Theorem 2. To show that $C({}^\epsilon D) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_{\epsilon_h} \cap C({}^\epsilon D))$, let $y \in C({}^\epsilon D)$. For any $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$, the mapping $t \mapsto D_t \cap \mathrm{int}\, \mathbb{B}_{\epsilon(1+2^{-\nu-1})}(y_t)$ is convex nonempty-valued and isc, by Theorem 2. By [Mic56, Theorem 3.1"'] and the assumption $C(D) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D))$, there is $\tilde{y}^\nu \in \mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D)$ such that $\|y - \tilde{y}^\nu\| \le \epsilon(1 + 2^{-\nu})$. Defining $y^\nu = (1 - \epsilon 2^{-\nu})y + \epsilon 2^{-\nu} y^\nu$, we have $y^\nu \in C({}^\epsilon D)$ and $y^\nu \to y$ in C. Since $\|y^\nu - \tilde{y}^\nu\| < \epsilon$,

we get $I_{\epsilon_h}(y^\nu) \leq I_h(\tilde{y}^\nu)$ and $y^\nu \in \operatorname{dom} I_{\epsilon_h}$. Thus $y \in \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{dom} I_{\epsilon_h} \cap C({}^\epsilon D)$. Finally, since I_h and J_{h^*} are proper, we have, for any $\bar{y} \in \operatorname{dom} I_h \cap C(D)$, that $t \mapsto {}^\epsilon h_t(\bar{y}_t + v)$ is finite and integrable whenever $v \in \mathbb{B}_\epsilon$. Thus ${}^\epsilon h$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 4 which finishes the proof the sufficiency.

As to the subdifferential formulas, we have, for any $y \in \operatorname{dom}(I_h + \delta_{\operatorname{cl}\operatorname{dom} h})$ and $\theta \in M$, the Fenchel inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} h(y) + h^*(d\theta^a/d\mu) &\geq y \cdot (d\theta^a/d\mu) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}, \\ (h^*)^\infty(d\theta^s/d|\theta^s|) &\geq y \cdot (d\theta^s/d|\theta^s|) \quad |\theta^s|\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

(the latter holds by (2)), so $\theta \in \partial I_h(v)$ if and only if $I_h(y) + J_{h^*}(\theta) = \langle y, \theta \rangle$ which is equivalent to having the Fenchel inequalities satisfied as equalities which in turn is equivalent to the given pointwise subdifferential conditions. □

Remark 1. *The properness of $I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$ and J_{h^*} is equivalent to the existence of $\bar{x} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\bar{y} \in C(D)$ and $\alpha \in L^1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} h_t(v) &\geq v \cdot \bar{x}_t - \alpha_t, \\ h_t^*(x) &\geq \bar{y}_t \cdot x - \alpha_t. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for $y \in \operatorname{dom} I_h \cap C(D)$ and $\theta \in J_{h^*}$, we may choose $\bar{y} = y$, $\bar{x} = \theta/d\mu$, and $\alpha := \max\{h^*(\bar{x}), h(\bar{v})\}$. Choosing $y = \bar{y}$ and θ by $d\theta/d\mu = \bar{x}$ and $\theta^s = 0$, gives the other direction.

Just like in Theorem 1, the necessity of inner semicontinuity in Theorem 5 has not been explicitly stated in the literature before. The domain condition will be analyzed more in detail in the next section.

The following corollary extends [Roc71, Theorem 5] and [Per14, Theorem 2] in the case of lcH σ-compact T. It builds on Theorem 5 by giving necessary and sufficient conditions for the equality $I_h + \delta_{C(D)} = I_h$.

Corollary 6. *Assuming I_h and J_{h^*} are proper, they are conjugates of each other if and only if $\operatorname{dom} h$ is isc and $C(D) = \operatorname{cl}\operatorname{dom} I_h$, and then $\theta \in \partial I_h(y)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^a/d\mu &\in \partial h(y) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}, \\ d\theta^s/d|\theta^s| &\in \partial^s h(y) \quad |\theta^s|\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $C(D) = \operatorname{cl}\operatorname{dom} I_h$ implies that $I_h = I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$ and that $C(D) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{dom} I_h \cap C(D))$, we have sufficiency by Theorem 5. Assuming that I_h and J_{h^*} are conjugates of each other, Lemma 3 implies that $I_h + \delta_{C(D)} = I_h$, so $\operatorname{dom} I_h \cap C(D) = \operatorname{dom} I_h$, and the sufficiency follows from Theorem 5. □

The related main theorems by Rockafellar and Perkkiö in [Roc71] and [Per14] give sufficient conditions for Corollary 6. Rockafellar's assumption involved the notion of "full lower semicontinuity" of set-valued mappings whereas Perkkiö formulated the assumption in terms of "outer μ-regularity". We return to these concepts in the next section.

4 The domain conditions in the main results

In this section we will analyze more in detail the conditions

$$C(D) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D)),$$
$$C(D) = \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{dom}\, I_h$$

appearing in Theorem 5 and Corollary 6. The former is characterized in Theorem 7 below whereas for the latter we give sufficient conditions in terms of the domain mapping D.

The condition in the next result, which extends the condition in [Roc71, Theorem 5], can be found in [BV88, Proposition 6] for metrizable σ-lcH T. Here we generalize to σ-lcH T and give the converse statement for inner semicontinuous domains.

Theorem 7. *Let $I_h + \delta_{C(D)}$ and J_{h^*} be proper. If, for every $t \in T$ and every $v \in \mathrm{rint}\, D_t$, there is $O \in \mathcal{H}_t$ and $y \in C(D)$ such that $y_t = v$ and $\int_O h(y)d\mu < \infty$, then*

$$C(D) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D)).$$

The converse holds if D is isc.

Proof. Let $y^0 \in \mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D)$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $y \in C(D)$. There is a compact $K \subset T$ such that $\|y^0\|, \|y\| \leq \epsilon/2$ outside K. By compactness and the assumptions, there exist $t_i \in T$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ for which there are $y^i \in C(D)$ and $O_{t_i} \in \mathcal{H}_{t_i}$ such that $|y^i - y| \leq \epsilon$ on O_{t_i}, $\int_{O_{t_i}} h(y^i)d\mu < \infty$ and $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n O_{t_i}$. Let α^0, α^i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be continuous partition of unity subordinate to $\{K^C, O_{t_1}, \ldots O_{t_n}\}$. By convexity, $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^i y^i \in (\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D))$, and, by construction, $\|\sum_{i=1} \alpha^i y^{t_i} - y\| \leq \epsilon$. Since ϵ was arbitrary, we see that $y \in \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D))$.

Assume now that D is isc and that $C(D) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D))$. Let $t \in T$ and $v \in \mathrm{rint}\, D_t$. There exists $v^i \in \mathrm{rint}\, D_t$, $i = 0, \ldots, d$, such that $v \in \mathrm{co}\{v^i \mid i = 0, \ldots d\}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be small enough so that $v \in \mathrm{co}\{\bar{v}^i \mid i = 0, \ldots d\}$ whenever, for all i, $\bar{v}^i \in \mathbb{B}_{v^i,\epsilon}$. By Michael selection theorem [Mic56, Theorem 3.1'''], there is, for every i, a continuous selection of D taking a value v^i at t, so, there exists $y^i \in \mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D)$ such that $y^i_t \in \mathbb{B}_{v^i,\epsilon}$. Let $\alpha^i \in (0, 1)$ be convex weights such that $\sum_{i=0}^d \alpha^i y^i_t = v$. By convexity, $\sum_{i=0}^d \alpha^i y^i \in C(D) \cap \mathrm{dom}\, I_h$ which proves the claim. □

We now turn to the condition $C(D) = \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{dom}\, I_h$ in Corollary 6. We say that a function $y : T \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a *μ-selection* of D if $y_t \in D_t$ outside a μ-null set. Clearly, every $y \in \mathrm{dom}\, I_h$ is a continuous μ-selection of D. Thus, if every continuous μ-selection of D is a selection of D, we have $\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \subseteq C(D)$ and thus, $I_h + \delta_{C(D)} = I_h$. If, in adddition, $C(D) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{dom}\, I_h \cap C(D))$, we thus get

$$C(D) = \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{dom}\, I_h.$$

Following [Per14], we say that a set-valued mapping S is *outer μ-regular* if

$$\mu\text{-liminf}\, S_t \subseteq \mathrm{cl}\, S_t \quad \forall t \in T,$$

where

$$\mu\text{-liminf}\, S_t := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \exists O \in \mathcal{H}_t :\ \mu(S^{-1}(A) \cap O) = \mu(O)\ \forall A \in \mathcal{H}_x^o\}.$$

By [Per14, Theorem 1], every continuous μ-selection of an outer μ-regular mapping is a selection of its image closure. The necessity of outer μ-regularity is given in [Per14, Theorem 2] for solid convex-valued mappings when the underlying topological space is Lindelöf perfectly normal T_1. Here we give sufficient conditions for continuous μ-selections to be selections in terms of familiar continuity notions of set-valued mappings.

Given a topology $\bar{\tau}$ on T, we denote the $\bar{\tau}$ neighborhood-system of t by $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_t$. The mapping S is *$\bar{\tau}$-outer semicontinuous (osc) at t* if $\bar{\tau}\text{-}\limsup S_t \subseteq S_t$, where

$$\bar{\tau}\text{-}\limsup S_t := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid S^{-1}(A) \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_t^{\#}\ \forall A \in \mathcal{H}_x^o\},$$

and $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_t^{\#} := \{B \subset T \mid B \cap O \neq \emptyset\ \forall O \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_t\}$. We denote $\bar{\tau} \supseteq \tau$ if $\bar{\tau}$ is finer than τ, and we say that *$\bar{\tau}$ supports μ locally at t* if there is $O \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_t$ such that every O-relatively $\bar{\tau}$-open set has a subset with positive measure.

The following definition of μ-fullness is inspired by the notion of full lower semicontinuity introduced in [Roc71] for solid convex-valued mappings. Example 1 below shows that such mappings are μ-full.

Definition 1. A set-valued mapping S is *$\bar{\tau}$-full locally at t* if there is $O \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_t$ such that

$$(\bar{\tau}\text{-}\liminf(\bar{\tau}\text{-}\limsup S))_{t'} \subseteq \operatorname{cl} S_{t'} \quad \forall\ t' \in O.$$

The mapping S is *μ-full* if, for every t, S is $\bar{\tau}$-full locally at t with respect to some $\bar{\tau} \supseteq \tau$ that supports μ locally at t.

Recall that S is *$\bar{\tau}$-continuous at t* if it is both isc and osc at t, and that S is $\bar{\tau}$-continuous locally at t it is continuous at every point on some $\bar{\tau}$-neighborhood of t. Example 2 below shows that, in the next definition, it is important to allow the topology to depend on t.

Definition 2. The mapping S is μ-continuous if, for every t, its image closure is continuous locally at t with respect to some $\bar{\tau} \supseteq \tau$ that supports μ locally at t.

Theorem 8. *Continuous μ-selections of S are selections of the image closure of S under either of the following conditions,*

1. *S is μ-continuous,*
2. *S is μ-full.*

Proof. 1 follows from 2, since when S is $\bar{\tau}$-continuous locally at t, then $\bar{\tau}\text{-}\limsup S_t = \operatorname{cl} S_t$, $\bar{\tau}\text{-}\liminf S_t = \operatorname{cl} S_t$, and $\bar{\tau}\text{-}\liminf(\bar{\tau}\text{-}\limsup S)_t = \operatorname{cl} S_t$.

To prove 2, let y be a τ-continuous μ-selection of S. Fix t, and $\bar{\tau}$ and $O \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_t$ in Definition 1. We may assume that every O-relative $\bar{\tau}$-open set has a set with positive measure, Then every $\bar{\tau}$-neighborhood of $t' \in O$ contains a

subset with positive measure and, thus, a point t'' with $y_{t'} \in S_{t''}$, and hence $y_{t'} \in \bar{\tau}\text{-}\limsup S_{t'}$. By continuity again, $y_t \in \bar{\tau}\text{-}\liminf(\bar{\tau}\text{-}\limsup S)_t$, so $y_t \in \operatorname{cl} S_t$, which proves 2. □

Example 1. *A fully lower semicontinuous mappings S is τ-full. Indeed, [Roc71, Lemma 2] characterizes full lower semicontinuity by the property that*

$$\operatorname{int} G = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} G$$

for $G = \{(t,x) \mid x \in \operatorname{int} S\}$. It suffices to show that for a set-valued mapping Γ, $\operatorname{int} \operatorname{gph} \Gamma \subseteq \operatorname{gph}((\liminf \Gamma)^o)$, where $(\liminf \Gamma)^o_t := \operatorname{int} \liminf \Gamma_t$.

Assume that $(t,x) \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{gph} \Gamma$. Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{H}^o_x$ and $O \in \mathcal{H}_t$ such that $O \times A \in \operatorname{gph} \Gamma$. Thus for every $x' \in A$ and $t' \in O$, $\Gamma^{-1}(O') \in \mathcal{H}_{x'}$ for every $O' \in \mathcal{H}_{t'}$, which means that $x' \in \liminf \Gamma_{t'}$, and hence $(x,t) \in \operatorname{gph}((\liminf \Gamma)^o)$.

Example 2. *Let $T = \mathbb{R}$ be endowed with the Euclidean topology τ. Assume that $S : \mathbb{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^d$ has* no removable discontinuities *in the sense that, for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and t, the distance function $t \mapsto d(y, S_t)$ is either left- or right-continuous locally at t. Then S is μ-continuous. Indeed, by [RW98, Proposition 5.11], the left-continuity of $d(y,S)$ is equivalent to S being left-continuous with respect to the topology generated by $\{(s,t] \mid s < t\}$, and the right-continuity is handled symmetrically.*

5 Appendix

The following lemma gives a general condition for lower semicontinuity of a convex function on the dual of a Frechet space V.

Lemma 9. *A convex function g on the dual of V is lsc if and only if $g + \sigma_O$ is lsc for every element O of a local basis of the origin.*

Proof. By Krein-Smulian theorem [KN76, Theorem 22.6], it suffices to show that g is lsc relative to every weak*-compact set K. Assume to the contrary that there is a net (x^ν) in K converging to x, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $g(x^\nu) \leq \alpha$ but $g(x) \geq \alpha + \epsilon$. Let $O \subseteq \frac{\epsilon}{2} K^\circ$ be an element of the local basis, where $K^\circ := \{v \mid \sup_{x \in K} |\langle v, x \rangle| \leq 1\}$ is the polar of K. Then $\sigma_O \leq \sigma_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}K^\circ} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ on K, so

$$\alpha + \epsilon \leq g(x) \leq (g + \sigma_O)(x) \leq \liminf (g + \sigma_O)(x^\nu) < \alpha + \epsilon$$

which is a contradiction. □

References

[AB88] L. Ambrosio and G. Buttazzo. Weak lower semicontinuous envelope of functionals defined on a space of measures. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 150:311–339, 1988.

[BV88] G. Bouchitté and M. Valadier. Integral representation of convex functionals on a space of measures. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 80(2):398–420, 1988.

[BW96] A. Bagh and R. Wets. Convergence of set-valued mappings: equi-outer semicontinuity. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 4(4):333–360, 1996.

[Dug66] James Dugundji. *Topology.* Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, Mass., 1966.

[Fol99] G. B. Folland. *Real analysis.* Pure and Applied Mathematics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[KN76] J. L. Kelley and I. Namioka. *Linear topological spaces.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976. With the collaboration of W. F. Donoghue, Jr., Kenneth R. Lucas, B. J. Pettis, Ebbe Thue Poulsen, G. Baley Price, Wendy Robertson, W. R. Scott, and Kennan T. Smith, Second corrected printing, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 36.

[Mic56] E. Michael. Continuous selections. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 63:361–382, 1956.

[Mun00] J. Munkres. *Topology.* Prentice Hall, 2 edition, jan 2000.

[Per14] A.-P. Perkkiö. Continuous Essential Selections and Integral Functionals. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.*, 22(1):45–58, 2014.

[PP15] T. Pennanen and A.-P. Perkkiö. Convex integral functionals of regular processes. *arXiv*, 2015.

[Roc66] R. T. Rockafellar. Level sets and continuity of conjugate convex functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123:46–63, 1966.

[Roc70] R. T. Rockafellar. *Convex analysis.* Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 28. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.

[Roc71] R. T. Rockafellar. Integrals which are convex functionals. II. *Pacific J. Math.*, 39:439–469, 1971.

[Roc74] R. T. Rockafellar. *Conjugate duality and optimization.* Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, Pa., 1974.

[RW98] R. T. Rockafellar and R. J.-B. Wets. *Variational analysis*, volume 317 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences].* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.